

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/646,137	DIGGLE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hugh B. Thompson II	3634	

All Participants:
Status of Application: non-final

 (1) Hugh B. Thompson II(PTO).

(3) _____.

 (2) Mr. Kris Kalidindi.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 January 2005
Time: 1pm(approx)
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1-4,9,15-20

Prior art documents discussed:

Jacobs-516

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant agrees to amend independent claims 1, 15, and 20 to recite that ends of the belt portion/support device are attached to a mating connection member of the safety strap. These changes serve to distinguish from the Jacobs reference. The other claims will be amended to avoid positive recitations of the safety strap and correct antecedent basis ambiguities.